# Multiple Sclerosis (MS) Prevalence, Disease-Modifying Drug (DMD) Therapy Use and Adherence, and Total Medical and Pharmacy Claims Expense Associated with MS in a 15 Million Commercially Insured Population



K. Bowen, MD, MBA,¹ and P.P. Gleason, PharmD,¹,²¹ Prime Therapeutics LLC, Eagan, MN, United States; 2University of Minnesota, College of Pharmacy, Minneapolis, MN, United States

No external funding provided for this research

### Background

- Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic autoimmune disease of the central nervous system characterized pathologically by demyelination and axonal damage.1
- Despite MS drugs being a leading driver of pharmacy benefit costs, there are few published studies describing both MS prevalence and treatment cost of MS including the disease modifying drugs (DMDs).
- MS is classified based on initial disease course into: Relapsing Remitting (RR) MS — about 85% of patients, and Primary Progressive (PP) MS — about 15% of patients. After 10 to 15 years, about 70% of RRMS patients develop a progressive course, Secondary Progressive (SP) MS.<sup>2</sup>
- Revisions in 2013 of MS clinical course categories (phenotypes) emphasize the similarities between PPMS and SPMS, grouping them together as "progressive MS," and add subcategories (modifiers) to both RRMS and progressive MS based on presence or absence of active disease and progression.<sup>3</sup>
- Active disease (clinical and/or magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]) is thought to result from inflammatory processes that may respond to treatment with current DMDs.4
- primarily from degenerative processes that do not respond to current DMDs.4

Progression of damage and disability is thought to result

- There is only one ICD-10 (and one ICD-9) diagnosis code for MS, so diagnosis codes do not provide a means of sub-classifying MS from administrative claims data.
- More than a dozen different medications have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for RRMS. In March 2017, ocrelizumab (Ocrevus®) became the first drug approved by the FDA for treatment of PPMS. Ocrelizumab was also approved for RRMS.
- Poor adherence to, or discontinuation of, DMD therapy may reduce its clinical benefit. A recent systematic review of published studies that reported a measurement of adherence to DMDs found 24 studies that met inclusion criteria. In these studies, adherence ranged from 41% to 88%, using different methods, some of which used variable and some fixed intervals (denominators).
- There is interest in the current, real-world, MS health care costs that are not for DMDs. Understanding the MS medical care costs that are not associated with the DMD drug therapy allows for estimating the potential medical care cost off-set from improved DMD drug adherence.
- It is commonly stated that medical costs will be decreased through improved MS DMD drug adherence. However, it is unclear as to whether the medical cost savings will result in a return on investment (ROI) or added costs, i.e., a negative ROI.

• In a large commercial population, to determine: 1) MS prevalence; 2) Percentage of MS members treated with a DMD and DMD adherence assessed using the proportion of days covered (PDC); and 3) Annual total pharmacy (Rx) and medical claims expense for MS members compared with a matched sample of members without MS.

4085-B © Prime Therapeutics LLC 04/18 1305 Corporate Center Drive, Eagan, MN 55121 AMCP, April 2018, Boston, MA, USA Kevin Bowen, 800.858.0723, ext. 5436 kbowen@primetherapeutics.com

All brand names are the property of their respective owner.

### Methods

Results

- From a monthly average of 15 million commercially insured members, we identified all members who were continuously eligible from October 2013 through September 2017 (four years) and younger than 65 years of age as of Sept. 30, 2017.
- Members with MS were defined as those with two or more inpatient or three or more outpatient medical claims with an MS diagnosis code, or a claim for an MS DMD other than natalizumab, since natalizumab is also used for Crohn's disease.
- For each member identified with an MS diagnosis, the number of days covered by any DMD, switching allowed, was determined for the interval between October 2016 and September 2017 using the incurred dates of any DMD claims. All claims with a date incurred October 2015 through September 2017 were evaluated. Days supply was extracted directly from the pharmacy claim and for medical claims an assumed number of days until next treatment, based on dosing specified in product insert, was used to determine days supply.

• Out of 4.04 million continuously enrolled members, 8,356

categorized as MS. Table 1 shows prevalence per 100,000

MS prevalence was a little over three times as high in

females as in males, peaked for both genders between

40 and 49 years of age, and included members younger

than 18 years. The lower prevalence of MS in the oldest

age categories could be explained by disproportionate

disenrollment from commercial insurance among older

••• This study used the same criteria for identifying members with

MS as a published study of MS prevalence in a commercially

insured population, except that our study used claims for four

years and the published study used claims for only one year.<sup>6</sup>

calculated from one of its tables as 160.2 per 100,000

age 5 to 64 years, which is 78.2% of the prevalence

In a separate analysis of our study, that limited claims

- Prevalence in the published study<sup>6</sup> can be back-

estimated in our study (206.9 per 100,000).

estimate was 169.1 per 100,000 aged 5 to 64.

• Of the 8,356 study members with MS, 5,514 (66.0%) had a DMD

claim in the most recent 12 months and an additional 819 (9.8%)

in the preceding 36 months. **Table 1** shows the proportion with any

A substantially higher percentage, 4,404 of 5,592 (78.8%),

years, compared with MS members age 55 to 64 years,

of MS members age 18 to 54 years had a DMD claim in four

(0.21% total, 0.32% of females, 0.10% of males) were

eligible members by age and gender.

members with MS.

DMD claim by age categories.

Limitations

- Adherence was calculated as the number of days covered out of the 365 days in the year 3 measurement period, Oct. 1, 2016 through Sept. 30, 2017, allowing for claims prior to Oct. 1, 2016 with day supplies on or after Oct. 1, 2016 to be counted toward the adherence proportion of days covered calculation. By convention, PDC 80% or greater was described as "adherent" and less than 80% as "not adherent."
- ••• DMD cost per day: The mean cost per covered day, October 2016 to September 2017, was calculated as the sum of allowed amount for all DMD claims divided by the sum of covered days.
- A matched comparison group (notMS) was used to estimate how much in total and which categories of claims expense were associated with MS. The notMS group was randomly selected from all members continuously enrolled for four years who had no medical claims with an MS diagnosis code and no claims for

1,915 of 2,740 (69.9%). It is possible that: a) many of the

members with no history of DMD therapy have progressive

MS, either PPMS or SPMS, without evidence of clinical or

MRI activity judged as justifying DMD therapy, and b) the

decline with age in percentage using a DMD reflects the

fumarate together accounted for 3,849 (69.8%) of the 5,514

were the DMDs assessed as safest but not the most effective.

Of the 188 members starting therapy with ocrelizumab, at

••• The table also quantifies off-label use of rituximab and

ofatumumab, defined as claim lines that had an MS

\*\*\* \$84,712 PPPY total claims cost for MS members with a DMD

\$63,175 total claims cost PPPY for all MS members, i.e., those

claim. October 2016 to September 2017, of which \$68,544

for DMDs and \$17,884 (28.4%) was for all other medical and

diagnosis code and none for any other known on- or off-

least 152 (80.9%) had previously been treated with an MS

MS members with a DMD claim. In a recent review, these

• Table 2 summarizes DMD pharmacy plus medical claims between

••• Glatiramer acetate, interferon products and dimethyl

expected relative increase of SPMS.

October 2016 and September 2017 by drug.

DMD approved only for RRMS.

MS members and matched members without MS.

label use of these drugs.

(80.9%) was for DMDs.

pharmacy claims.

a DMD during these four years. The notMS group was selected by matching to the MS members 5:1 on one-year age group, gender and health plan.

- All pharmacy claims were categorized by National Drug Codes (NDC). All medical outpatient claims were categorized by Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes. All medical inpatient facility claims were categorized by MS-DRGs. Inpatient professional claims were categorized by first line diagnosis codes.
- Expense is the sum of insurer and member payments ("allowed amount") without adjustment for rebates or
- Mean total and by-category expense for the MS members minus that for the matched notMS members is reported as excess expense associated with MS.

\$7,642 PPPY total claims cost PPPY for the matched members

Compared with matched group without MS, MS members had

DMDs. The largest individual categories identified were:

MRIs of the brain and spinal cord – periodic MRIs are

Dalfampridine (Amypyra®), a specialty drug approved to

Hospital inpatient stays for MS, presumably to manage

part of standard management:8

improve walking in MS;

acute exacerbations; and

\$10,301 PPPY excess medical care expense that was not for

### **Table 1.** Multiple Sclerosis (MS) Prevalence and MS Disease Modifying Drug (DMD) Therapy by Age and Gender

Members meeting MS diagnosis criteria				MS members per 100,000 eligible members*			MS members (%) with disease modifying drug (DMD) claim			
Age (Years)	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Year 3 DMD claim	DMD claim in years o to 2, but none in year 3	Any DMD claim in years o to 3	
04-09	1	1	2	0.8	0.8	0.8	1 (50.0%)	_	1 (50.0%)	
10 - 17	12	10	22	4.8	3.9	4.3	13 (59.1%)	-	13 (59.1%)	
18-24	111	40	151	48.0	17.0	32.4	95 (62.9%)	10 (6.6%)	105 (69.5%)	
25 – 29	98	26	124	141.2	33.9	84.8	87 (70.2%)	13 (10.5%)	100 (80.6%)	
30 - 34	338	112	450	289.6	93.0	189.7	322 (71.6%)	37 (8.2%)	359 (79.8%)	
35 - 39	591	209	800	373.4	134.3	254.8	573 (71.6%)	80 (10.0%)	653 (81.6%)	
40 – 44	853	266	1,119	488.9	156.3	324.7	781 (69.8%)	118 (10.5%)	899 (80.3%)	
45 – 49	1,124	309	1,433	537.1	151.1	346.3	997 (69.6%)	138 (9.6%)	1,135 (79.2%)	
50 - 54	1,175	340	1,515	509.5	153.4	335.0	997 (65.8%)	156 (10.3%)	1,153 (76.1%)	
55 - 59	1,189	349	1,538	475.2	146.8	315.2	976 (63.5%)	160 (10.4%)	1,136 (73.9%)	
60-64	915	287	1,202	421.2	137.5	282.2	672 (55.9%)	107 (8.9%)	779 (64.8%)	
Total	6,407	1,949	8,356	316.2	96.9	206.9	5,514 (66.0%)	819 (9.8%)	6,333 (75.8%)	

Age = age as of Sept. 30, 2017; MS criteria = >= two inpatient or >= three outpatient medical claims with an MS diagnosis code or a claim for an MS DMD other than natalizumab; FDA-approved for MS; claim = pharmacy or medical benefit claim; Year 3 = October 2016 to September 2017; Year 0 to 2 = October 2013 to September 2016 \*Study sample included 4.04 million commercially insured members who were continuously enrolled from October 2013 to September 2017 (four years)

Table a Multiple Sclerocic Members Using MS Disease Medifying Drugs (DMD) and Cos

		Claims for MS members, October 2016 to September 2017							
	Route of		MS members (%)		Mean PPPY (all MS members)				
Drug	administration	Trade name	N=8,356	DMD expense (%)					
FDA-approved for MS	(DMDs):								
Glatiramer acetate	SC	Copaxone® or Glatopa®	1,516 (18.1%)	\$85,973,570 (22.9%)	\$10,289				
Interferon	SC or IM	(four trade names*)	1,310 (15.7%)	\$87,050,417 (23.2%)	\$10,418				
Dimethyl fumarate	PO	Tecfidera®	1,124 (13.5%)	\$67,442,575 (17.9%)	\$8,071				
Fingolimod	PO	Gilenya®	653 (7.8%)	\$43,645,555 (11.6%)	\$5,223				
Natalizumab	IV	Tysabri®	596 (7.1%)	\$44,840,920 (11.9%)	\$5,366				
Teriflunomide	PO	Aubagio®	488 (5.8%)	\$25,911,198 (6.9%)	\$3,101				
Ocrelizumab	IV	Ocrevus®	188 (2.2%)	\$8,560,615 (2.3%)	\$1,024				
Alemtuzumab	IV	Lemtrada®	89 (1.1%)	\$11,483,161 (3.1%)	\$1,374				
Daclizumab	SC	Zinbryta®†	18 (0.2%)	\$824,574 (0.2%)	\$99				
Mitoxantrone	IV	Novantrone®	_	\$0	\$o				
Any DMD	·		5,514 (66.0%)	\$375,732,585 (100.0%)	\$44,966				
Not FDA-approved for	MS (off-label use <sup>‡</sup> ):								
Rituximab	IV	Rituxan®	89 (1.1%)	\$2,653,919	\$318				
Ofatumumab	IV	Arzerra®	1 (0.0%)	\$22,400	\$3				

Note: "MS members" does not sum to "Any DMD" because some members used two or more different DMDs. DMD = disease modifying drug, FDA-approved for MS; PPPY = per patient per year; Mean PPPY (all MS members) = DMD expense divided by total number of MS members in study (8,356); SC = subcutaneous; IM = intramuscular; SC = subcutaneous; IV = intravenous

\*Interferon beta-1a, IM (Avonex®), interferon beta-1a, SC (Rebif®), interferon beta-1b, SC (Betaseron® and Extavia®), peginterferon beta-1a, SC (Plegridy®) †Daclizumab (Zinbryta®) was withdrawn from the market on March 2, 2018, after reports of serious adverse effects

‡Rituximab and ofatumumab claims shown above were medical claims with a diagnosis code for MS and no diagnosis codes for any other known uses of these drugs; some MS members also had rituximab use (not shown above) that was coded for rheumatoid arthritis, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, etc.

without MS.

• 2,053 (37.2%) of the 5,514 MS members with a DMD claim in the most recent 12 months were non-adherent with a PDC less than 80% and mean number of days covered. The 2,053 non-adherent DMD members averaged 154 (42.2%) of covered days out of 365.

Office visits, most commonly problem-based.

- Using the observed mean DMD cost of \$234 per covered day, information to the most recent 12 months, the prevalence • Table 3 compares claims expense per patient per year (PPPY) for the increasing these 2,053 members to PDC 80% would add \$7,948 PPPY incremental DMD cost. Moving the 819 members with only previous history of DMD to becoming adherent to DMD therapy
  - \$14,648 PPPY is the estimated cost to obtain DMD adherence among the non-adherent DMD members and those members who with and without DMD utilization, of which \$45,231 (71.6%) was

- would add \$6,710 PPPY.
- recently discontinued DMD therapy.

### Conclusions

- Using real world integrated medical and pharmacy commercially insured members claims data, about two per 1,000 members were found to have an MS
- There may be an opportunity to increase MS DMD use in this MS population as 66% used a DMD in the most recent 12 months and an additional 10% appeared to have discontinued their DMD as they had no DMD claims in the most recent 12 months, but did have a DMD claim in the preceding three years.
- MS DMD adherence is poor, with four in 10 members not achieving an 80% DMD PDC in the most recent
- MS is a very costly condition. At \$63,200 average annual total health care cost, it was 8.3 times higher than matched members without MS. The primary reason for higher cost was DMD therapy, that accounted for over 80% of the excess cost.
- health care cost for MS members was \$17,900;

\$10,300 higher than for the matched members without MS at \$7,600.

- A clinical program that moves all non-adherent DMD members to adherent and members who had discontinued DMD to a DMD adherent state would add an additional \$14,700 PPPY in MS DMD costs to the current \$63,200 PPPY total MS health care cost, for a new MS PPPY cost of \$77,900. Unfortunately, the \$14,700 PPPY in new MS DMD costs is more than could be potentially saved in non-DMD medical care costs, therefore it is not possible to obtain a direct medical cost offset return on investment from improving adherence.
- The value of treating more MS members with DMDs or improving adherence needs to be assessed from a societal perspective and with a time horizon of many years. Multiple studies attempting to assess the total value of DMD therapy have concluded that use of MS DMDs greatly exceeds conventional thresholds for cost-effectiveness without large reductions in the prices of these drugs. 11,12

Table 3. Multiple Sclerosis (MS) Claims Expense Per Patient Per Year (PPPY) by Categories: MS Members Compared with Matched Members without MS

Mean claims expense PPPY, October 2016 to September 2017

	mean claims expense FFF1, October 2010 to September 2017						
	MS members by DMD therapy					Excess for MS**	
	No DMD in	No DMD in year 3, DMD	DMD in	All MS	Matched members		
	years o to 3	in years o to 2	year 3	members	without MS*		% of tota
Expense category	N=2,023	N=819	N=5,514	N=8,356	N=41,780	Mean PPPY	excess
MS DMDs, total	\$o	\$o	\$68,544	\$45,231	\$o	\$45,231	81.4%
Pharmacy, DMDs	\$o	\$o	\$56,439	\$37,244	\$o	\$37,244	67.1%
Medical outpatient, DMDs	\$o	\$o	\$11,702	\$7,722	\$0	\$7,722	13.9%
Medical outpatient, DMD infusion	\$o	\$o	\$402	\$265	\$0	\$265	0.5%
Pharmacy, excluding DMDs	\$3,431	\$4,454	\$4,032	\$3,928	\$1,672	\$2,256	4.1%
Weakness – dalfampridine (Amypyra®)	\$369	\$937	\$1,357	\$1,077	\$0	\$1,077	1.9%
Spasticity & spasms	\$371	\$362	\$345	\$353	\$55	\$298	0.5%
Pain – opioids	\$298	\$209	\$109	\$165	\$35	\$129	0.2%
Bladder dysfunction	\$107	\$97	\$155	\$138	\$12	\$126	0.2%
Fatigue	\$83	\$109	\$142	\$125	\$7	\$117	0.2%
Relapses – corticotropin	\$17	\$179	\$124	\$103	\$0	\$103	0.2%
Depression/pain – antidepressants	\$105	\$99	\$126	\$118	\$47	\$71	0.1%
Erectile dysfunction	\$31	\$38	\$54	\$47	\$12	\$35	0.1%
All other pharmacy claims	\$2,050	\$2,422	\$1,620	\$1,803	\$1,504	\$299	0.5%
Medical outpatient, excluding DMDs	\$12,817	\$12,815	\$9,269	\$10,475	\$4,559	\$5,916	10.7%
MRI, brain or spinal cord	\$1,057	\$1,096	\$1,814	\$1,561	\$64	\$1,497	2.7%
Office visits	\$1,232	\$1,194	\$1,186	\$1,198	\$624	\$574	1.0%
Lab tests	\$880	\$818	\$696	\$752	\$340	\$413	0.7%
Rituximab	\$720	\$1,756	\$106	\$416	\$25	\$391	0.7%
Other imaging	\$1,200	\$1,086	\$884	\$980	\$597	\$383	0.7%
Immune globulin	\$680	\$1,066	\$83	\$324	\$25	\$299	0.5%
Physical therapy	\$409	\$448	\$392	\$402	\$136	\$265	0.5%
Durable medical equipment	\$524	\$390	\$318	\$375	\$136	\$239	0.4%
Emergency room visits	\$433	\$324	\$259	\$307	\$152	\$155	0.3%
Botulinum toxin	\$82	\$49	\$72	\$72	\$11	\$61	0.1%
All other medical outpatient claims	\$4,838	\$3,956	\$2,949	\$3,505	\$2,179	\$1,325	2.4%
Medical inpatient	\$5,409	\$3,459	\$2,868	\$3,541	\$1,411	\$2,129	3.8%
Multiple sclerosis	\$433	\$426	\$652	\$577	\$o	\$577	1.0%
Sepsis & urinary tract infection	\$754	\$375	\$202	\$352	\$38	\$315	0.6%
Musculoskeletal	\$616	\$571	\$576	\$585	\$334	\$251	0.5%
Other kidney & urinary tract	\$117	\$112	\$18	\$51	\$2	\$49	0.1%
Seizures	\$33	\$62	\$53	\$49	\$5	\$44	0.1%
Mood disorder	\$70	\$10	\$22	\$33	\$6	\$27	0.0%
Headache	\$25	<b>\$</b> o	\$17	\$17	\$4	\$13	0.0%
All other inpatient claims	\$3,361	\$1,902	\$1,328	\$1,877	\$1,024	\$853	1.5%
Total pharmacy + medical	\$21,658	\$20,728	\$84,712	\$63,175	\$7,643	\$55,532	100.0%
Pharmacy + medical, excluding DMDs	\$21,658	\$20,728	\$16,168	\$17,944	\$7,643	\$10,301	18.6%

MS = multiples sclerosis; DMD = disease modifying drug, FDA-approved for MS; DMD infusion = medical claim line expense for infusion of DMDs; Year o to 2 = October 2013 to September 2016; Year 3 = October 2016 to September 2017; PPPY = per patient per year

Spasticity & spasms = muscle relaxants (e.g., baclofen), anticonvulsants (e.g., pregabalin, gabapentin); bladder dysfunction = urinary antispasmodics; fatigue = modafinil, armodafinil, amantadine; durable medical equipment leading (excess) categories included wheelchairs and urinary catheters; emergency room visits = visits without hospital admission (visits with hospital admission were categorized as medical inpatient) \*Matched members without MS were randomly selected to match MS members 5:1 on gender, one-year age group and health plan.

\*\*Excess MS expense as the mean PPPY extra expense per member with MS compared to the matched members without MS.

## References

- Reich DS, et al. Multiple sclerosis. New England J Med 2018;378:169-180.
- 2. Ontaneda D, et al. Progressive multiple sclerosis: prospects for disease therapy, repair, and restoration of function. Lancet 2017;389:1357-1366.
- 3. Lublin RE, et al. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: The 2013 revisions. Neurology 2014;83:278-286.
- 4. Corni G, et al. Evolving concepts in the treatment of relapsing multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* 2017;389:1347-1356.
- Menzin J, et al. Narrative review of the literature on adherence to disease-modifying therapies among patients with multiple sclerosis. J Managed Care Pharm 2013;19(1-a):S24-S40.
- 6. Dilokthornsakul P, et al. Multiple sclerosis prevalence in the United States commercially insured population. Neurology 2016;86:1014-1021.
- Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER). Disease-modifying therapies for relapsing-remitting and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis: Effectiveness and Value. Final Evidence Report, 2017. https://icer-review.org/material/ms-final-report/, accessed on Jan 19, 2018. 8. Traboulsee A, et al. Revised recommendations of the Consortium of MS Centers for a standardized MRI protocol and clinical guidelines for the
- diagnosis and follow-up of multiple sclerosis. *Am J Neuroradiol* 2016;37:394-401. Solomon AJ, et al. The contemporary spectrum of multiple sclerosis misdiagnosis: A multicenter study. Neurology 2016;87:1393-1399.
- 10. Campbell JD, et al. Burden of multiple sclerosis on direct, indirect costs and quality of life: national estimates. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2014;3:227-236.
- 11. Noyes K, et al. Cost-effectiveness of disease-modifying therapy for multiple sclerosis: A population-based study. *Neurology* 2011;77:355-363. 12. Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER). Summary report: https://icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/CTAF\_MS\_RAAG\_030617.pdf,

- This study uses only information from administrative claims to determine which members have MS.
- ••• Analyzing four years of claims for all study members increased sensitivity, identifying 20% more members with MS, compared with using only one year of claims. However, the possible loss of specificity and increase in false positives could not be determined.
- In addition, there is published evidence that the diagnosis of MS is erroneous for some patients, perhaps as many as
- 10% of patients, including some treated with one or more DMDs for years.<sup>6,9</sup>
- The results are representative of a large commercially insured population, but cannot be extrapolated to non-commercial populations. A study of the total United States population using the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey found a highly disproportionate number of individuals with MS have public insurance.<sup>10</sup>
- using a 365-day denominator for all, rather than using a different denominator for each member that begins with the first DMD claim for that member. This study only measures the direct, insurance claim costs associated with MS in a 12-month interval. However, MS is a disease with a course of several decades that also results in

large indirect costs.

• There are many different adherence assessment methods. This

study estimates the incremental cost of increasing DMD use by

all MS members with a history of DMD use to at least 80% PDC,

Excluding the cost of DMDs, the mean annual total